# LITTLE RIVER BAND OF OTTAWA INDIANS TRIBAL COURT

3031 Domres Road · Manistee, MI 49660 (231) 398-3406 tribalcourt@lrboi-nsn.gov

LARRY ROMANELLI, Ogema of The Little River Band of Ottawa Indians Plaintiff. Case No. 24-010-GC

Honorable Caroline LaPorte

٧.

LITTLE RIVER BAND OF OTTAWA INDIANS TRIBAL COUNCIL, Defendant.

Dennis Swain (P29866)

Attorney for Plaintiff
2608 Government Center Drive
Manistee, MI 49660

Gary S. Pitchlynn (OBA #07180)

Attorney for Defendant
PO Box 722786

Norman, OK 73070

### ORDER FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT

On January 11, 2024, the Court issued an order finding the Budget the Ogema signed on December 13, 2023 to be the FY2024 Budget as a matter of law. The Court provided Tribal Council with an opportunity to appear for a show cause hearing on January 12, 2024. Tribal Council instead passed Resolution # 24-0112-003.

On January 24, 2024 the Ogema filed a motion for a show cause alleging that Tribal Council had still failed to appropriate the funds in accordance with the Court's Order on January 11, 2024. Consequently, on February 19, 2024, the Court held a show cause hearing. During the show cause hearing, the Court asked for supplemental briefing and stated on the record that Council would have time to cure its contemptuous actions.

On March 25, 2024 the Court issued another Order stating *in relevant part* the following (a copy of the full 9-page Order including attachments is attached to this Order as well):

"The determinations of the trial court are **ORDERS**. However, Council still has time to cure its contemptuous actions (prior to the end of Q1) or to provide a resolution indicating the funds were appropriated for Q1 in accordance with the FY2024 Budget and this Court's **ORDERS**. Once again, when Council failed to override the veto (which is a Constitutional power that the Ogema has), as a matter of law the Ogema's budget as submitted without the amendments of Tribal Council was the approved budget.

If Tribal Council has passed a resolution to fund Q1 in accordance with the FY2024 Budget, Tribal Council has until March 28, 2024 to provide it. Failure to do will result in a finding for CONTEMPT of COURT."<sup>1</sup>

It is now April 1, 2024 and Tribal Council has failed to provide the Court with a resolution in accordance with the Order. No documents have been provided by Tribal Council to date. Therefore, the Court finds **EVERY MEMBER of Tribal Council** in Contempt of Court for failing to abide by the Court Order dated March 25, 2024, specifically:

Failing to provide the Court with a resolution funding Q1 in accordance with the FY2024 budget (the budget the Ogema signed on December 13, 2023 as stated in the Court's January 11, 2024 Order and repeated clearly on the record at the show cause hearing on February 19, 2024.

Accordingly, the Court fines EACH MEMBER OF COUNCIL \$300.00 for failing to comply with the Court's Order. The fine is due and payable to the Court on Friday April 5, 2024. An order garnishing the pay of each Tribal Council Member who fails remit payment on April 5, 2024 will issue on April 8, 2024.

Additionally, starting today, Monday April 1, 2024, for each CALENDAR DAY that Tribal Council **CONTINUES** to fail to provide the Court with the required documentation, the Court will fine **EACH MEMBER** OF COUNCIL \$100.00. This fine schedule will continue through Sunday April 7, 2024. On Monday, April 8, 2024 the fine will increase to \$200.00 PER CALENDAR DAY and continue through Sunday April 14, 2024. On Monday, April 15, 2024, the fine will increase to \$300.00 PER CALENDAR DAY and continue through Sunday April 21, 2024. If on April 22, 2024, the Court still does not have as a part of its record the Resolution funding Q1 in accordance with the FY2024 Budget, the Court will enter another order *sua sponte*.

As a reminder, civil contempt penalties can include fines or jail, and civil contempt actions, like this one, <u>will not end</u> until there is compliance with the Court's Order.

Monday April 1, 2024	\$100.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES INDIVIDUALLY
Tuesday April 2, 2024	\$100.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES INDIVIDUALLY
Wednesday April 3, 2024	\$100.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES INDIVIDUALLY
Thursday April 4, 2024	\$100.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES INDIVIDUALLY
Friday April 5, 2024	\$100.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES INDIVIDUALLY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Court Order Dated March 25, 2024 at page 6 (copy attached to this Order).

0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0400.00	
Saturday April 6, 2024	\$100.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES INDIVIDUALLY
Sunday April 7, 2024	\$100.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
Sunday April 7, 2024	\$100.00	INDIVIDUALLY
Manday And 9 2024	\$200.00	
Monday April 8, 2024	\$200.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
		INDIVIDUALLY
Tuesday April 9, 2024	\$200.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
		INDIVIDUALLY
Wednesday April 10, 2024	\$200.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
		INDIVIDUALLY
Thursday April 11, 2024	\$200.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
		INDIVIDUALLY
Friday April 12, 2024	\$200.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
_		INDIVIDUALLY
Saturday April 13, 2024	\$200.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
• •		INDIVIDUALLY
Sunday April 14, 2024	\$200.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
		INDIVIDUALLY
Monday April 15, 2024	\$300.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
		INDIVIDUALLY
Tuesday April 16, 2024	\$300.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
		INDIVIDUALLY
Wednesday April 17, 2024	\$300.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
		INDIVIDUALLY
Thursday April 18, 2024	\$300.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
1		INDIVIDUALLY
Friday April 19, 2024	\$300.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
	,	INDIVIDUALLY
Saturday April 20, 2024	\$300.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
* *		INDIVIDUALLY
Sunday April 21, 2024	\$300.00	EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL ACCRUES
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		INDIVIDUALLY
		1 : :

Again, the above fines will continue to accrue in accordance with the fine schedule outlined herein until Tribal Council complies with the Court Order. Upon compliance, or April 22, 2024 (whichever occurs first) the fines in accordance with the fine schedule will be due and payable to the Court. An order garnishing the pay of each Tribal Council Member who fails to pay will issue on April 23, 2024.

In summary, the Court has found each member of Tribal Council in Contempt of Court and has fined them an initial fine of \$300.00 each. This fine is due and payable to the Court on Friday April 5, 2024. Should Tribal Council continue to fail to comply with the Court's Order, the Court will assess the additional fines in accordance with the fine schedule outlined in this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 1st Day of APRIL, 2024.

DocuSigned by:

Caroline Caporte

Caroline B. LaPorte, J.D.
Associate Judge

<u>CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE</u>
I certify that a copy of this Order for Contempt of Court was sent to all parties via email and USPS mail on this day.

Date

Court Clerk/Administrator

## LITTLE RIVER BAND OF OTTAWA INDIANS TRIBAL COURT

3031 Domres Road · Manistee, MI 49660 (231) 398-3406 tribalcourt@lrboi-nsn.gov

LARRY ROMANELLI, Ogema of The Little River Band of Ottawa Indians Plaintiff.

Case No. 24-010-GC

Honorable Caroline LaPorte

v.

LITTLE RIVER BAND OF OTTAWA INDIANS TRIBAL COUNCIL, Defendant.

Dennis Swain (P29866)

Attorney for Plaintiff

2608 Government Center Drive

Manistee, MI 49660

Gary S. Pitchlynn (OBA #07180)

Attorney for Defendant
PO Box 722786

Norman, OK 73070

### **ORDER AFTER SHOW CAUSE HEARING**

On February 19, 2024, the Court held a show cause hearing in the above captioned matter. All parties appeared in compliance with the Court's previous orders. All parties were sworn in.

a. Addressing Tribal Council's OBJECTION to the Order Striking their Motion to Vacate

Prior to the hearing, on February 18, 2024, Tribal Council's attorney filed an objection to the Order Striking his Motion to Vacate, calling it a RCP 4.602(b)(3) Motion. This is improper. A 4.602(b)(3) motion is for a proposed order that parties submit for the Court's consideration. As such, the Court STRIKES the objection.

### b. The Show Cause Hearing and Arguments by Tribal Council and Ogema

During the show cause hearing on February 19, 2024, the Court was presented with arguments from Tribal Council about their understanding of the budget as presented in August by the Ogema. Their attorney focused on the fact that the budget was not balanced according to Tribal Council's interpretation. The Court entertained the argument, as well as the sworn information provided by certain Tribal Council Members, as a matter of respect for their Office and as a matter of ensuring the General Membership is informed (also as a matter of respect for the body of individuals that this Tribe derives its sovereignty from). And the Court stated, very plainly, that regardless of their interpretation, they voted on the veto, failed to override the veto via the 6-3 vote that was required, and that their action as one body (the vote) put the budget in place. It

was at this point that Tribal Council's *duty* to appropriate funds triggered. Tribal Council voted on December 13, 2023 to override the Ogema's veto. That veto failed. The Court found that there was a budget as a matter of law at that point, which was the budget the Ogema submitted without amendments from Tribal Council.

If the Court were to accept Council's attempt to invalidate their own vote, the Court would put itself in the improper position of attempting to adjudicate what Council might have done differently if they had only done it differently every time they voted.

The Court is uninterested in doing that.

Furthermore, the Court is not in the business of determining what money should be spent and where. The Court does not have that authority. Nor does the Court have the *duty* of making sure the dollars get to where they need to go. The Court also does not need to find a budget to be balanced or not balanced. However, the Court is well within its authority to determine that a budget is in place as a matter of law (the budget the Ogema originally submitted and subsequently signed on December 13, 2023), and that it is Tribal Council's constitutional duty to appropriate those funds. That is what the Court has done, and it has exercised its constitutional power to issue writs of mandamus to do so.

# c. To be Clear Again: The Budget Signed on December 13, 2023 is the FY2024 Budget and Council has a Duty to Appropriate

The Court has stated ad nauseum at this point that the FY2024 Budget is in place and that AS A MATTER OF LAW it is the Budget the Ogema signed into law on December 13, 2023.

According to the FY2024 Tribal Budget, a copy of which is attached to this Order, has the Tribe's total operating expenditures at \$46,273,486.00. The FY2024 Tribal Budget has a Gaming Distribution of \$22,486,874.00. Tribal Council's position is that what made the FY2024 Budget not balanced was the "supplemental" of \$4,211,515.00. So Council was unconcerned regardless about the difference in expenditures and the gaming revenue, which is what Tribal Council relies on as the basis of their argument. Additional revenue listed in the FY2024 Budget is as follows:

Gaming Tax Revenue	\$3,250,000.00	
Rental Revenue	\$233,832.00	
Program Revenue	\$5,642,043.00	
Taxing Authority Revenue	\$590,189.00	
Third Party Revenue	\$250,000.00	
Dividends and Interest	\$1,500,000.00	
Grant Funds	\$8,109,033.00	
Other Revenue	\$4,211,515.00	

All of this (the additional revenue plus the gaming distribution) equals \$46,273,486.00.

### 1. The FY2024 Appropriations

For purposes of informing Tribal Membership, the FY2024 Budget required an appropriation total of \$26,698,389.00. The **PROJECTED** gaming distribution was stated as \$22,486,874.00, leaving the need for an additional \$4,211,515.00. On January 12, 2024, Tribal Council passed resolution #24-0112-003, "Appropriating Funds for Expenditures during the First Quarter of FY2024," in which Tribal Council appropriated \$2,810,859.25 for expenditures, shorting the FY2024 Budget by about \$1,052,879.00. Tribal Council has since passed an additional resolution, but the Court has not received it and it is not yet an official part of this record.

### 2. The General Fund (Restricted vs. Unrestricted)

During the show cause hearing, certain individual councilors (who elected to speak after not being called to testify, but who were also sworn in at the start of the show cause) could not confirm what was in the general fund and no one stated what the unrestricted general funds were in their response either. This might be explained via timing or other mitigating factors if individual council members were off by dollars, hundreds of dollars, or even tens of thousands of dollars. But tens of millions? Regardless, that is an issue for the general membership to address should that respected body choose to do so.

### 3. The Misnomer of the Supplemental Request in the FY2024 Budget

For the purposes of informing tribal membership, the following was included as the "supplemental" request in the original budget:

Item	Cost
1. Maintenance- Capital Outlay	\$104,000.00
2. Next Generation Learning Center	\$237,000.00
3. IT Elevate/Arctic Contract/Capital Revenue	\$703,000.00
Utility Department- Capital Outlay     Department	\$2,629,965.00
5. Executive Legal	\$225,011.00
6. 3% COLA Raises	\$310,875.00
TOTAL	\$4,211,515.00

This "supplemental" funding was included in the FY2024 Budget as submitted by the Ogema, and though it is titled as "supplemental," it was included in the original budget. This means, importantly, that when Tribal Council voted on December 13, 2023, they were aware that "supplemental" funding was part of the Ogema's submission. The Court asked Council's representation when his client became concerned about the budget being "unbalanced" according to Tribal Council's interpretation of the 2013 Budget and Appropriations Act and the response was on or around the time that the Ogema presented it. This would have been in August.

### d. The Duty of Council to Appropriate

What is truly critical here is that the vote that Council took in December of 2023 is a vote that is outlined within the <u>Tribe's Constitution</u>. Council took that vote and failed to override the Ogema's veto. Because of this, council MUST appropriate the funds in accordance with the FY2024 Budget that the Ogema signed on December 13, 2023, which the Court has already stated numerous times in previous Orders. The Court requested supplemental briefing for what was required appropriations-wise in order for Council to be in compliance with funding the FY2024 budget in accordance with their Constitutional duties. The Court has since reviewed both briefs supplied.

The Court, as stated in the hearing, is giving Council an opportunity to cure. Regardless of whether twenty (20) million or seventy-six (76) million dollars is in the general fund, there is adequate funding for the FY2024 budget. If modifications are necessary in Q2, Q3 or Q4, that is not before the Court nor are the initiation of budget modifications a power of the Court.

During the show cause hearing the Court permitted, without objection of the attorneys present, some testimony via statements of individual Councilmembers who wished to speak. During the show cause hearing, Shannon Crampton, Al Metzger, Julie Wolfe, and Gary DiPiazza provided statements regarding their positions on the FY2024 Budget as submitted by the Ogema without any amendments by Tribal Council. The Court takes each of their positions in kind.

# 1. Councilman Crampton's Objection to the FY2024 Budget- 3% COLA Raises for Employees or Employee

Councilman Crampton's stated objection to the FY2024 Budget was the 3% COLA raises for Tribal employees as listed in the "supplemental". He specifically stated it was one tribal employee. He did not identify the employee. But upon review, the 3% COLA is in the amount of \$310,875.00. Either Mr. Crampton objects to one employee's raise and that is employee is making \$10,362,500.00, which would be a reasonable objection or Mr. Crampton is objecting to just one employee out of a pool of employees getting a COLA raise, which would raise other concerns that are not before this Court (as singling out AN employee to not receive a COLA raise might be problematic).

# 2. Councilman Metzger's Objection to the FY2024 Budget- A Shortfall Created by the Supplemental Request

Councilman Metzger stated his issue was that there would be a shortage created by the "supplemental" in the Ogema's budget, but Councilman Metzger failed to acknowledge that the supposed shortfall was reflected on the revenue side of the budget (see OTHER REVENUE). Councilman Metzger reported that his understanding of what was in the Tribe's general budget was twenty (20) million, but this is much closer to the unrestricted portion and the Court will assume that is what Councilman Metzger was referring to.

# 3. Councilwoman Wolfe's Objection to the FY2024 Budget- The Tribe would have to Acquire a Loan to Cover the Alleged Shortfall

Councilwoman Wolfe stated that her issue was that the Tribe would have to borrow the money for the supplemental via a loan (but this is a red herring). Even if a loan would be necessary, it could be reflected on the revenue side of the budget. Regardless, there is money in the unrestricted general fund and again, the funds are reflected under "other revenue". And whether or not the Tribe chooses to take out a loan to finance some sort of project or work is, at least with this set of facts, not the Court's concern. At any rate, a budget is a projection...which is why it is subject to modification processes in accordance with the Act.

### 4. Councilman DiPiazza Stated that the Ogema's FY2024 Budget is the Budget

The Court agrees. No further analysis is necessary since the Court has issued repeated orders stating that the FY2024 Budget is in place as a matter of law.

### e. Conclusion

Per the affidavit submitted by William Willis on February 21, 2024, the <u>unrestricted</u> general fund cash balance as of January 31, 2024 is \$25,789,842. Tribal Council stated through their representation that the budget was not balanced, despite the unrestricted funds, because the budget relied on funding that was not from net gaming revenues. <u>But half of the dollars required to fund the FY2024 budget do not come from net gaming revenues.</u> Those dollars come from Gaming Tax Revenue, Rental Revenue, Program Revenue, Taxing Authority Revenue, Third Party Revenue, Dividends and Interests, Grant Funds and Grant Revenue. The only thing left then is where the money for the "supplemental" expenditures come from. And where it comes from is the unrestricted dollars in the general fund. <u>A budget is just a projection.</u> What is not lost on the Court is that the budget for FY2024 relies on *PREDICTED* gaming revenues (amongst other revenue that might be more knowable—such as grants), and not on actuals.

Nevertheless, the Court wanted to ensure that enough funds existed to cover the approved FY2024 Budget that was signed on December 13, 2023. The reason for that is clear: holding Tribal Council in contempt of court for not appropriating funds that the Tribe simply does not have would be highly problematic. The Court stated as such during the show cause. The Court does not determine, nor does it have the power to determine, how the Tribe should spend its money. The Court is concerned with applying the laws to the facts and in the enforcement of its orders consistent with its jurisdiction.

The Court found that once Council failed to override the Ogema's veto in accordance with both the Constitution and the 2013 Budget and Appropriations Act that a budget was in place as a matter of law. The budget signed by the Ogema on December 13, 2023 is the FY2024 Budget.

Again, on January 11, 2024 the Court received an ex parte request for a writ of mandamus at 4:29 pm. The Court issued its order regarding same on January 11, 2024 at 7:24 pm. The urgency

of the response was required, again, <u>because funds were being expended</u>. A show cause was preemptively set for Council for January 12, 2024 in the event that Council felt they did not have a Constitutional duty to appropriate funds in accordance with the laws of the Tribe. They appropriated funds rather than appearing at that show cause.

So, again, all that is at issue is this: has Tribal Council appropriated funding in accordance with their Constitutional duties via Resolution #24-0112-003? Based on the information supplied by the supplemental briefs as well as the information that the Court has on the record, the answer to that question is no. The Court has not received a resolution regarding the Q1 Budget post the show cause hearing and is just now in receipt of a supplemental brief alleging a failure to appropriate in accordance with the budget for Q2.

The determinations of the trial court are **ORDERS**. However, Council still has time to cure its contemptuous actions (prior to the end of Q1) or to provide a resolution indicating the funds were appropriated for Q1 in accordance with the FY2024 Budget and this Court's **ORDERS**. Once again, when Council failed to override the veto (which is a Constitutional power that the Ogema has), as a matter of law the Ogema's budget as submitted without the amendments of Tribal Council was the approved budget.

If Tribal Council has passed a resolution to fund Q1 in accordance with the FY2024 Budget, Tribal Council has until March 28, 2024 to provide it. Failure to do will result in a finding for CONTEMPT of COURT.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 25th Day of March 2024.

. . .

Caroline Laporte

Caroline B. LaPorte, J.D

Associate Judge

**CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE** 

I certify that a copy of this order was sent to the attorneys for the parties via email and USPS mail on this day.

Date

Spring Medacco

ribal Council submission 10/13/23

	Theres.	[,,,,,,,,,
	}	
	_	

Tarker   T	\$ 4,636,421	1,258,525	\$ 1,478,270 \$	\$ -	4	\$ 103,500	750,6€7 €	6,611,42	-	04.3 OEV E		
Proceeding Sections   Process   Pr	100	807,712	799,270	V. 100	2	1	100 000	שני מדל ני	-	7,420,670		
Property Management   S	1987			いるながらいと		公 二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十		Carpanies and Annual Control of the	5 774 937	- 1		
Proposity Banacians						THE STATE OF THE PARTY AND	が発生されたのでは、		郷		が大きなの	2009-459
Commissionment   Comm	のである。	事品の対すると	1808.2	THE PERSON NAMED IN	AND CONTRACTOR OF THE CASE	Chicago de California de Caracteria de Carac						2000-457
Project   Management   S			27,007				のが一般的なない。	は通過を記する		<b>多种种种</b>	Thomas,	TOTAL PLANT
Principal State Principal State   Principal St		702 717	272 807									204,000
Page									\$ 1/455.50		A 45 K. 18	2000-AOS
Request   Management   S   1,930,000   S   1,930,000   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S	100000				STATE OF THE STATE		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		S			2000.000
Required   Property	e de	R. Britain	E. 2. 15. 5.						H	400,000	STANDARD .	2000-401
Property   Management   S   1,970,000   S   2, 973,000	ľ							4	4			No. of the last
Property	2			•	_		\$	2,779,945	2,8/4,945			
Proposition					THE PERSON NAMED IN			1,040,249	1,135,249		1	7
Property   Advanced   St.									图 题 A / X / 图			
Commission   Complete   Complet	\$ 269,271			Ŀ	\$	3			040,400	20,000		
Control   Cont							1		513 103	10,000		
Committee   Comm	\$ 260	いない はいかい	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				204,000	是 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 15	10,000		1035-174
Tribit Commission   Section   Sect									1			MT-SHOT
Committee Legit   Committee	12	S STATE OF S	S	and the second of the second o		\$		\$	1,320,972		vironmental & Natural Resources S	3 - One
Control   Cont	9								7.	September 1		160
Committee   Comm	3 NO	後所別級		このはないないからないできる	The second secon	Manage Contract Annual and a	The second second second		\$ 798,864		esources	TCD4
Committee   Comm									驗		000	1050-151
Committee   Comm	\$ 1,484	1	61									
Contract	\$ 29	17.1				100 A		2365		258,720	DOUBLE	
Continue	\$	10.8 ft. sp. 2			· ·			Parameter of the Parame	\$ 291,933		[8]	
Control   Cont											Miles and the second second second second	851,6601
Comparison   Com	\$	\$			\$	S	\$	S	\$ 2,191,760		ubtotal - Legislative Branch	
Department   Program   P	NOSKALITE	Antique Contract	STANDARD STANDARD STANDARD STANDARD	0.66	The state of the s				\$ 129,575	129,575	Elders	TODO-Tee
Department		J)!		は、これ					\$ 118,375	118,375	in i	Tetr-odes
Department   Department   Department   Department   Department   Department   Department   Section   Section   Department   Section   Section   Department   Section   Department   Section   Department   Section   Department   Section   Section   Department   Section	対している。	mn.i	-		The state of the s		が変が	TO SERVICE OF SERVICE		AV-SEL	Business & Accounting	1020-113 0
Department	Contraction of the	Ý					ALC SAMPLES	THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDR		435,294	-Sistematical extension	1020-117
Department         Department         NAME         PRACE         COLOR         PRACE         COLOR         PRACE         COLOR         PRACE         COLOR         PRACE         COLOR         PRACE         COLOR         PRACE			200				-		Terbat.	19E 001	Lagran Bir Lagran	1020-109
Department   Department   Protein	S	S		\$	\$	\$ 8,500	\$		981,851	9/3,351	Manager Administration (1919)	
Department   Department   Protein	<b>美国教育</b>	を がら の か で の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の			The state of	\$ 8,500	STATE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	CT/MACHINE	3 700.00	717,568	Tribal Court	1015-150
Constraint   Continue   Continu									100mm	255,688		CT CT
Department   Department   Prator   Protein	5	\$ 450,813	\$ 679,000	\$	S	\$		\$	\$ 3,060,697	1,930,884	S	-
Department   Department   Pr. Abert   Pr. Abert   Province   Pro		\$ 225,011					2.3	1 元 九 元	\$1,500,25,202	14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		TOTAL STREET, SICH
Department	Section Section	-		-			Section 1 Constitution		516 149		egal	1010-162
Department 2002 Value 2002 Process Authority Party Authority Other 2002 Process Authority Party Authority Other 2002 Process Process Authority Party Authority Other 2002 Process Process Party Authority Party Authority Other 2002 Process Process Process Party Party Authority Party Authority Other 2002 Process Process Process Party Part	STATE OF STREET	-		_			ALL STREET	to a second	130,975			
Department 2024 2024 France Front Authority Party Sintegest Other Four Tribal Ogenna S 985,992 S 985,992	The state of the s	-					に関われ		and the same	SHE		901-0101
Department 2024 2024			1		14 (P) (P) (S) (S)	The Best of the	300.0	10	\$ 985,992	185,997	Tribal Ogema	1010-102
Fund # Department Assessment FY 2024 2023 Taxing Third Dividends Gran	Fund	Other	& Interest	П	Authority	Program	Rental			AND		225
	Gran		Dividends	Third	Taxing			2024	\$207.14	Stration of Strategy	Department	Fund#

# **2024 Budget - Executive Summary** Tribal Council submission 10/13/23

\$ Local Linear Destroy				8500						7500-703	7500-782	7500-701	1070-147	1070-164			1040					4105	1010-172	1010-176	4104	4105			8012-178	1080-159	1085-124	2000-465	4925	4026	1080-137	Fund #	
2024 Projected Distribution	Total - Operating Budget	50.10%	Total - Tribal Business Activities	Per Cop	And the second second that the second	6.90%	Total - Economic Development	3 % COLA increase	Subtotal - Economic Development	Utilities - Lagoon Project	September Wilderson	Utilities - Water	Planting Co.	Commerce Department	- iax reparament	Subtratal - Tay Demostrate	The second secon	10.00%	Total - General Welfare	Subtotal - Health Services	COLA - General Welfare 3%	Debaptoral Health	Pharmacy - Manistee	Phononey - Musicappo	Clinic Operations	DES PROC		Subtotal - Citizen Support Services	Most Generation bourning Center	Members Legal Assistance	Down Paymont/Clasing Chat Assistance	Muskegon Clinic Buildings	Resulty Specimes	Education	nonatsian radow.	Department	
趋	\$ 22,486,874	\$ 11,265,923	\$ 11,265,923	5 11,243,437	١,		\$ 1.551.594		1	SHELLSHIP	STATE OF		\$ 105.761	\$ 457 053		STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN CO		1	\$ 2,248,687	\$	and and a	\$	Competition	\$ 10 King King King King King King King King	-	\$		\$ 7749 607		1	60	Catal		\$ 660,080	S SERVER	Distribution	" JOZA GAMING
\$	22,486,874 \$46,278,486 \$	\$	$\overline{}$	\$ 11,243,437	-2	coo,c2c, ¢				\$ 767.505	2	8	\$ 105,763	Į.	\$ 150,478	SALMET C		H	. I	\$ 7,830,170	- 6	9050	\$ 1.320.594		\$ 3,398,651	S-11996-157	\$ 4,130,747			15		- 1	誕	\$ 930.546	T Date Con	Budget Act	[ EV 3034 ]
	\$ 3,250,000 \$		\$							の地域の対象を対象を	22-24-24-25-25-55-55-55-55-55-55-55-55-55-55-55-	DEMONSTRATE SAMPLES	Service Services		\$	有情報のはは日本の日本の		* ***			Rational Control of the Spinster	がある。日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、	STATISTICS OF ST	The state of the state of	TOTAL STREET,		> 4/0,055	SP-GREEN	SALES CONTROLLED	TOTAL CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	明を受けるというのでは	PARTICIPATION OF THE PARTICIPA		\$ 170,466		> 2,024	
	\$ 233,832 \$		\$							機能を正常の対象を	NAME OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS	CHANGE TO STANK	手行 というとう		S	が問題を記るののでは		[	1		Manual Ma	No. of the last of	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF	THE STATE OF THE S	Same And Annual Control			KO, CHANG - PRESTURBED	<b>阿达米斯斯斯斯</b>	日本の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の					Menami		
-1	\$ 5,642,043 \$		^			5 728,380 5	l			Or Pact	A1	1000			\$			COT'0TO'4.	1	1	meritare &		\$ 1,320,504		Arison A	ICAN PROPERTY.	\$	Control of the second	THE PROPERTY OF THE		A THE PARTY OF THE	出版を記を記るの	STATE OF THE PARTY		Program		
- 1	\$ 590,189					-				のできるというできると	Mentilanne de la composition della composition d	元のないのできるから				\$ 150,470		11/664 6	1		THE REAL PROPERTY.	STATE SECOND IN	地位をいる古代を	Real Property Comment	SPECIAL PROPERTY AND INC.		\$ 439,711	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CACHELLOUS RESIDENCE	B007007 K	3	は世紀ののはなが	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	\$ 3.9,711	Authority	Taxing	derinante de la companya de la compa
	\$ 250,000					\$				STATES STATES	-	CONTRACTOR OF STREET	34 87 9 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36		\$			\$ 250,000	250,000		は日本のでは、日本の	STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN C	2000年1000年100日本地	000,002	100		\$					The state of the s		363.4	Party	Third	
	\$ 1,500,000					\$ -				(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		では、大学の大学のでは、			\$			\$ 21,730	\$		<b>新市市的基本的</b>		<b>新国党会社会会员</b>		<b>经验</b> 的证明的证据		\$ 21,730 \$							\$ 21,750	& interest	Dividends	
	\$ 4211515	•				\$ 2,645,031	\$ 15,066	\$ 2,629,965	\$ 398,506		\$ 444,000				\$ -			\$ 307,959	\$ 70,007		100	The same of the sa	STATE OF STREET	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		\$ 237,952	\$ 237,952 \$							Other		
ŀ	\$ 8 109 033	\$			- 1	- \$			-	を の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の		の一般の一般の大学の			\$	さんであるのではなるとなって		3,472,612	7 \$ 2,700,000		\$ 125,000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 638,243	1		П	\$ 106,476		200	s	SET 65% S.	\$ 100,000		Funds	Grant	

# 2024 Budget - Executive Summary Tribal Council submission 10/13/23

Other - Supplemental items - unmet needs  Maintenance - Capital Outlay - 2 Work/Plow trucks \$ 104,000  NGLC \$ 237,952  IT - Elevate/Arctic Contracts/CapitalReserve \$ 703,712  Utility Department - Capital Outlay Items \$ 2,629,965  Executive Legal \$ 225,011  3% COLA \$ 310,875  Total Supplemental items - unmet needs \$ 4,211,515	Pharmacy         \$ 5,719,895         I.H.S. Self-Gov.           Utilities         \$ 728,380         B.I.A. Self-Gov.           Program Revenue         \$ 103,500         B.I.A. Rights Protection           \$ 6,551,775         \$ 6,551,775	Revenue Major Federal Funds
Allocation of Gross Gaming Tax Revenue Surveillance \$ 1,739,696 Gaming Commission \$ 1,040,249 Members Assistance \$ 299,589 Education \$ 170,466 Total \$ 3,250,000	\$ 2,700,000 \$ 2,000,000 I.H.S. 105L \$ 1,000,000 \$ 5,700,000	Misc. Federal Funds
Allocation of Pharmacy Revenue Muskegon Pharmacy \$ 606,384 Manistee Pharmacy \$ 1,320,594 Behavioral Health \$ 372,777 Clinic Operations \$ 2,510,408 Total \$ 4,810,163	# Housing - HUD \$ 269 \$ 609,000 Indirect Cost \$ 1,200   NGLC \$ 160 \$ 609,000 \$ 1,63	Misc. Grant Revenue
	Allocation of Gross Gaming Tax Revenue   104,000   Surveillance \$ 1,739,696   Musk   237,952   Gaming Commission \$ 1,040,249   Man   703,712   Members Azsistance \$ 299,589   Be   2,629,965   Education \$ 170,466   CI   310,875   CI   310,875   A,211,535   CI   3,250,000   CI	5,719,895       I.H.S. Self-Gov.       \$ 2,700,000       H         728,380       B.I.A. Self-Gov.       \$ 2,000,000       I.H.S. 105L       \$ 609,000       In N         103,500       B.I.A. Rights Protection       \$ 1,000,000       N       N       N         6,551,775       S 5,700,000       \$ 609,000       N       N         104,000       Surveillance       \$ 1,739,696       Musk         237,952       Garming Commission       \$ 1,040,249       Man         703,712       Members Assistance       \$ 299,589       Be         2,629,965       Education       \$ 170,466       CI         225,011       Total       \$ 3,250,000       CI